

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/795,858	MATAYA, ROBERT F.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Stefan Staicovici	1732

All Participants:

(1) Stefan Staicovici.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Mr. William Kircher.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 25 August 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

111

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Staicovici indicated that in claim 111, on line 14, the limitation of "the resin" does not have antecedent basis. Examiner Staicovici suggested that, on line 6, after "mold;", on a new line, to insert "applying resin to the fiber lay up, in order to provide clear and supported language to define over the art of record. Mr. Kircher agreed to the proposed amendment. .